

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

82B 17

3
4
5 In re the Estate of)
6 L. RON HUBBARD,)
7 A Missing Person.)
8

PROBATE NO. 47150

9
10
11 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

12 Proceedings before The Honorable J. David Hennigan, Judge of
13 the Superior Court of the State of California, County of River-
14 side, Department XII, on Thursday, March 17, 1983.

15 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

16
17 For the Petitioner:

CHEONG & DENOVE
Attorneys at Law
By: WILKIE CHEONG, ESQ.
Century City North, Suite 340
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067

21 For the Respondent,
22 Mary Sue Hubbard:

BARETT S. LITT and
MICHAEL S. MAGNUSON
Attorneys at Law
The Oviatt Building
617 South Olive - Suite 1000
Los Angeles, California 90014

25
26 BONNIE J. REYNOLDS, CSR NO. 2490
Official Court Reporter
3536 Tenth Street - Ste. 160
27 Riverside, California 92501
28 (714) 787-6656

COPY

1 For example, I presently am aware of -- because of
2 a lot of my investigation -- the subject matter in general of
3 transactions involving the corporation called InterCap Limited.
4 It's a Nevada Corporation which vice president was indicted by
5 an Arizona Grand Jury.

6 Between April '82 and June 13 of 1982, several checks
7 were made payable from L. Ron Hubbard to InterCap Limited. Its
8 connection to organized crime is currently being investigated
9 by an Arizona Attorney General. The information and avail-
10 ability of evidence about the control of L. Ron Hubbard's
11 assets and manipulation of those assets by several individuals
12 in InterCap Limited, including James Isaacson and Lyman Spurlock,
13 is subject matter which I happen to be familiar with in connec-
14 tion with the litigation in general.

15 It is directly pertinent to the proceedings here
16 because we believe that the evidence is going to show the
17 Court that that corporation has been wrongfully manipulating
18 and controlling Mr. Hubbard's assets. Mr. DeWolfe would not
19 have had access or would not have even known of the availability
20 of that type of evidence. That is simply one example.

21 The problems confronting Mr. Hubbard's counsel in
22 Florida, the problems of Mr. Goldfarb confronting, trying now
23 to move to withdraw as counsel for L. Ron Hubbard because he
24 can't consult with him and only consulting with Mr. Lenske is
25 a problem the evidence of which is very important for the
26 trier of fact in this case. And that type of evidence would
27 not have been made available to the Court if I didn't represent
28 Mr. DeWolfe, because Mr. Cheong simply wouldn't have known